WHAT TO EXPECT AFTER JANUS

THE DAY WILL COME WHEN OUR RESISTANCE WILL BE MORE POWERFUL THAN THE VOICES YOU ARE THROTTLING TODAY
“They (unions) won’t go away until we drive the proverbial stake through their hearts and finish them off for good.”


The Janus Supreme Court case, just like the Wisconsin and Michigan so-called “right to work” laws, isn’t about freedom to choose—they are about taking away workers’ freedom to have a say and some power to improve our lives.

Anti-union forces, from the right-wing think-tanks like the Freedom Foundation to big businesses to lobbyists to others, see unions as the single greatest obstacle to unbridled profit and control.

After the Supreme Court’s ruling (expected as early as April and as late as June), anti-union people will be talking to members of our unions about dropping their membership. I know, because I am the former president of SEIU Local 925, a public sector union in Washington State made up of family care workers and other public service employees. After the Supreme Court decision in Harris v. Quinn—which did to home care and child care workers what Janus will do for all public employees—the Freedom Foundation came after our members. The Freedom Foundation is a business nonprofit in Washington State, and they spent boatloads of money trying to convince union members that we could save our dues or agency fee money and lose none of our rights. They even sent Christmas cards to our members, with the holiday message, “give yourself a raise.”

Most importantly, they encouraged members to abandon the political agenda they called the union’s. (We call it the members’.) But the Freedom Foundation folks forgot to tell them about their own political agenda: privatize public education, end health care for those in need, roll back worker and environmental protections, and cut taxes for the very wealthy people and corporations based in our state.

The Freedom Foundation used every conceivable method for talking to Local 925 members—they got lists of union members through public information requests, and then used mail, email, telephone, website, videos to get their pro-business, anti-union message out. Most appalling, they went do door to door, visiting members at home!

I recognize all those tactics because they’re the ones we use—at election time, and during new organizing drives. At our union, we recognized Harris as an organizing opportunity, and we used those tactics. Any union can—and I encourage you to!

I’m sorry to say this kind of frontal assault is not limited to Washington State: The Freedom Foundation is only one of over 60 similar organizations, at least one in every state, that is affiliated with the...
history denying workers any kind of free speech right to form a union. Before Congress passed the National Labor Relations Act (NLRA) in 1935, courts were more likely to treat unions as criminal conspiracies that interfered with employers' property and contract rights. But even the NLRA, which provides legal protections for union organizing and collective bargaining, does not recognize a First Amendment right to engage in union activity. Instead, it bases those rights on Congress, and its power to regulate interstate commerce—not in the civil and constitutional rights of workers.

As a result, corporations enjoy more free speech protections to beat up on workers than workers and their unions have to organize. An employer can force workers to attend mandatory anti-union presentations or be fired, and unions have no complementary right to respond. Workers can be fired for making "disloyal" statements about their company in the course of a protest. And the scope of issues that unions can meaningfully demand to bargain over has been significantly narrowed.

A ruling against AFSCME in *Janus* could provide unions with a solid First Amendment basis to challenge these and other inequities in labor law. But conservative judges could also shamelessly wave away any argument about why free speech shouldn't make collective bargaining fairer for workers, while beefing up corporate power.

Already, some are concerned that anti-union lawyers will next argue that collective bargaining regulated by the NLRA is another "inherently political" interaction between unions and the government, making any union shop—even in the private sector—unconstitutional. That this argument might even see the light of day shows how far the right wing has pushed the American political conversation.

U.S. unions are bracing for impact. Unions with substantial public sector memberships have beefed up their member-to-member organizing programs. Their immediate goal is convincing current fee-payers to join and pay dues. This is not a drill or a false alarm, and stewards play a key role in keeping workplaces, bargaining units and unions together as all working people—union and not—come under assault. Here are three things to do:

1. Talk about *Janus* and its effect on our communities, unions, and workplaces. Unionist.com has resources from across the labor movement and around the media.

2. Expect a well-funded direct mail blitz from union busters encouraging members to quit their union to "give themselves a raise." Member-to-member organizing is critical for counteracting right-wing propaganda portraying unions as "ineffective" third parties. Here, shop stewards must be the first line of defense.

3. Express solidarity with all workers. Show up at another union’s picket line or rally, sure. Is there a demonstration against workplace raids by ICE in your community? Those are workers, too. Be there for them, in your union colors.

—Shaun Richman is a writer and campaign consultant. He is the author of the report "Labor’s Bill of Rights." His Twitter handle is @Ess_Dog.
How to Do Right by Someone Screwing Up at Their Job

We all know someone who is always late, never pitches in when things get busy and is just not very good at their job. Often that person is management. When this person is a fellow union member, things can get sticky for a steward. Here are some ideas about what to do when a union member is disciplined and you think they are in the wrong.

JUST CAUSE: ABOUT FAIRNESS, NOT ANY ONE WORKER
You and your co-workers fought hard for a union contract that contains just cause for discipline and discharge— usually in the form of progressive discipline and fair work rules. We fight for those things because managing a workplace is a lot of work— and often, owners or managers might be tempted to cut corners, skip the required steps and pick and choose how they discipline or fire people. Stewards often face unjust discipline and sometimes a grievance where wrongdoing is a bit muddy. Union representatives—including stewards—are bound by the Duty of Fair Representation. Here is what I coach stewards to do when a less-than-perfect co-worker gets disciplined and files a grievance:

1. Investigate the discipline: Find out the facts of the case and review the seven tests of just cause. Ask for the employee’s personnel file and work record including past discipline. Interview witnesses and review any documentation. Did the employer meet their responsibility to prove they had just cause and follow all other relevant parts of the contract?

2. Follow the grievance procedure. Remember, when we meet over discipline, it’s the employer’s responsibility to prove they had just cause. Make sure they fulfill that duty. Don’t let them get away with anything less than following the contract.

3. Explain to fellow union members (and sometimes fellow stewards) that this isn’t a referendum on how this person does their job; rather, it’s about keeping management in check and making it fair for the next person.

4. Make sure everyone is held to the same standards. It’s a basic tenet of just cause that rules must be enforced equally! If the boss lets some people get away with breaking the rules, then no one can be disciplined for breaking those same rules.

SOMETIMES SOLIDARITY IS WHAT’S NEEDED
Sometimes a co-worker is failing at work because they’re going through a tough time—a medical, financial, or family crisis might be to blame. When we see a co-worker struggling, our first action should be concern and compassion— some solidarity— especially when the boss is on their case.

When progressive discipline is on the table, it is important to be both kind and honest. “I do sidebars [private meetings] with my members, not in front of management, to tell them what they did wrong,” SEIU steward Cameisha Shepherd told me. “Not everybody is perfect, but the boss often puts us in a bad position, so it’s my job to make sure the boss doesn’t abuse their power.”

Here are some additional ideas for you, as a steward, to make sure they get a fair chance:
- Ask the person why they are having a hard time at work. If they’ve got something personal going on, remember that just cause requires that extenuating circumstances must be considered. (Also, unionist.com has a helpful article on helping co-workers emerging from a crisis.) Remember, your co-worker is in charge, and needs to make the best decision for themselves.
- Is there something the employer should do to help the situation? Does your co-worker need some time away from the workplace to get themselves together?

Check your contract for benefits that might help:
- Training support: Does your contract have educational, professional development or other training provisions? Training, accommodations or coaching can help a person succeed. You can work with your co-worker to help identify classes or other resources that may help. You can also do some informal support matchmaking: If Joe’s really bad at something and need some training, can Janelle help?
- Disability accommodations: Sometimes a work-performance problem has a medical cause. If your contract ensures health coverage, perhaps relevant tests are needed. In any case, does your contract specify accommodating someone with disabilities?
- Consult with your union representative or attorney to find out state and local laws that can help a person going through a bad time. Is there a paid leave for someone dealing with domestic violence or caring for a sick relative, for example?

As a steward, you want to be supportive while holding management accountable: dealing with performance problems is management’s responsibility, and ensuring they deal with those problems fairly is the union’s.

—Leah Fried is a longtime union activist, trainer, and writer. She lives in Chicago.
Our members might turn to you, as shop steward, for an explanation of how major policy changes affect working people. And in April, conversation often turns to taxes. President Trump signed a major new tax law in December, named The Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017, also known as “the tax bill.” First, the very small good news: there might be modest tax cuts for working people in the first few years. Unfortunately, that’s about it: the benefits primarily favor corporations and individuals with very high net worth, and over time even the modest tax cuts for everyone else begin to disappear. It is primarily a tax bill for millionaires, billionaires, and the biggest corporations. Pro-labor economists, policy experts like me, and regular people all share deep concerns about its impact on the economy overall. Here are some of them.

**WILL THE NEW LAW CREATE JOBS?**

Probably not. Prior to this tax cut, US corporations held approximately $2 trillion in cash, and they weren’t investing it—business investment is what creates jobs. Instead they’re sitting on their cash. Giving them more cash is no help. The business media is already reporting, and most economists agree, that companies will use the extra income to buy back their own stock. This will increase the wealth of the stockholders, but not create new jobs.

**WHAT ABOUT A RECESSION?**

Many economists express concern about a recession in the next few years. Why? Primarily because the growth of our economy is now exceeding its “potential.” Whenever this happens, economists say, the risk of recession increases. The second concern has to do with deficits. Lawmakers are already using existing deficits to cut the social safety net—benefits for seniors, the disabled, and the poor—like food stamps. The deficits have two problems: first, people laid off will have fewer, or more stingy, benefits. (More on that below). And second, higher deficits give policy makers less room to maneuver to shorten or end a recession. Before she left her job, Federal Reserve Chairwoman Janet Yellen raised concerns about deficits, which are expected to grow and will give policy makers little room to respond to a future recession.

**WHAT WILL HAPPEN IN GOVERNMENT?**

The $1.46 trillion increase to US debt is not accompanied by more or better infrastructure, better schools and universities, or much of an increase in technology. Instead, the Act creates massive deficits and raises the debt in order to afford tax cuts primarily to the wealthy. Under a 2010 law called “Pay as You Go,” (PYG) if a law adds to the deficit over time, it triggers automatic cuts in a range of social programs. Medicare will automatically face cuts under PYG. So will a range of smaller programs—including housing, childcare and other social services as well. Medicaid, Social Security and SNAP (food stamps) are technically exempt under PYG, but as the cuts impact the government’s ability to do its job they will create pressure and justifications for further cuts in every sphere, such as education, housing, food, jobs, or economic development and whether or not it is required under PYG. This will harm families being served by these programs as well as put public jobs at risk: ie, layoffs. Some two million people work directly for the federal government alone; and governments at every level employ almost 20% of all workers. That workforce is the most unionized, by far, in the US. (See the Janus coverage in this edition.)

**SO, WHO ARE THE WINNERS?**

Without question, the Act benefits the very wealthy. It significantly reduces the estate tax so the very rich can keep more money in their families. This will accelerate wealth inequality and the already-existing racial gaps. (For example, it is expected that median Black wealth will hit zero in 2053.) Moreover, the Federal Reserve is likely to raise interest rates, which will make student loans, mortgages and any other borrowed money more expensive—offsetting whatever tax cut working families receive. Single parents with more than two children may find themselves paying higher taxes as personal deductions are eliminated. Finally, the Act also changes how we measure inflation, using something called Chained-CPI. This is a less generous measure of inflation: over time, families will be bumped into higher-income tax brackets but deductions linked to the Chained-CPI, such as the Earned Income Tax Credit, will grow more slowly than they should, which hurts lower-income families. Overall, the Act creates deeper inequality and inequity and threatens ever more damaging impacts over time.

—Cathy Albisa and her team at NESRI, the National Employment and Social Rights Initiative. Learn more about their work at www.nesri.org.
TEN STEPS TOWARD A DECENT CONTRACT

1. Don’t wait until the last minute to start organizing for the next contract. Keeping track of problem grievances, such as those due to unclear or weak language, needs to be done the moment a contract is ratified. Start thinking about goals for the next contract and start planning a strategy a year before.

2. New Member Orientation. Don’t wait for the day of the vote to reach out to newer members. Get them informed, involved, and invested in the union. If you don’t win them over, the employer will use them against you down the road.

3. Don’t forget the “old” members! Keep people informed, have periodic shop meetings, get input. Recruit senior members to help with orientation of new members through a buddy system.

4. Involve members in enforcing the contract and improving the quality of the work environment. Stewards can ask “leaders” of different work groups their opinions about grievances, what concerns members have in their department or shift, what would get members involved more. Committees can be set up to tackle issues of particular interest such as health and safety, workload and temps.

5. Use creative tactics and group actions to win grievances or improve conditions. Strategize which issues to fight over. Try to look for small wins that will make it easier to employ these tactics during a bigger contract campaign.

6. Understand the big picture. Hold or attend workshops for members on what’s happening to working people in America and, in particular, union members. Contact local labor education programs in your area.

7. Research! Learn everything you can about your particular company and the industry. Look at how much your company cares about their public image and what they do to promote it.

8. Set up a “one-on-one” structure in your workplace. This is a simple idea that has a lot of impact. Ideally, stewards should be at the top of the structure as coordinators, with shop leaders next assigned to five to ten people each. Communicating face to face is the best if possible; that way, it can be two-way communication.

9. Get involved in other union activities. Union committees and community coalitions like Jobs With Justice help build ties you will need for future battles. We all need allies to be able to effectively threaten actions or carry them out. Don’t wait until you need help. Give help first.

10. “I’ll be there” cards. Challenge members to commit time to help on campaigns by filling out volunteer cards to work on campaigns, strikes or other support activities.